JACOBS[°]

Appendix A15.4: Agriculture and Sporting Land: Pre- and Post-Mitigation Impacts

- 1.1 This appendix supports Chapter 15 (People and Communities Community and Private Assets). Table 1 and Table 2 provide the assessment of potential and residual impacts on agriculture and sporting land interests, respectively. The loss of land in this appendix is based on the draft Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) which is reported within the draft Orders to the nearest metre squared. Where land-take areas are reported in hectares and rounded to two decimal places, within this appendix and within Chapter 15 they are rounded to the nearest 100m². Reported land-take areas in hectares for individual land interests and for fields and forestry parcels may differ slightly from that reported in the draft CPO as a consequence of this rounding. Furthermore, where multiple land parcels are affected there may be minor differences between the sum of the land-take values reported in the summary section for each land interest when compared to the sum of the individually reported field land-take areas. This is also due to the effect of rounding to two decimal places.
- 1.2 For further information regarding mitigation items, see Table 15.28 in Chapter 15 (People and Communities Community and Private Assets).

Land interest		Land-take				Potential Impacts						
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%						
Mclver	A/1	3.48	LCA 3.2	940	0.09	3	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
Contracting Ltd (Ref. A)	A/2	6.31	LCA 3.2	4,611	0.46	7	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Creation of severed area (approximately 0.15ha) to the south of the proposed scheme.					
	A/3	7.62	LCA 3.1	588	0.06	1	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to drainage system. Field severed into three areas. Creation					
			LCA 3.2	40,143	4.01	53	of severed area (approximately 0.36ha) to the south-west of the proposed scheme.					
	A/4	3.69	LCA 3.1	7,397	0.74	20	Loss of majority of field, boundary features and disruption to drainage.					
			LCA 3.2	25,093	2.51	68						
K&C Munro	B/1	3.72	LCA 2	2,606	0.26	7	Loss of boundary features and disruption to drainage system.					
Ashton Suffolks (Ref. B)	B/2	3.89	LCA 2	14,528	1.45	37	Loss of boundary features and disruption to drainage system.					
(LCA 3.1	4,452	0.45	11						
	B/3	2.45	LCA 3.1	233	0.02	<1	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to drainage system.					
	B/4	5.67	LCA 2	56	0.01	<1	Loss of boundary features, three gated accesses and disruption to drainage system. Field severed into three areas.					
			LCA 3.1	12,289	1.23	22						
			LCA 3.2	12,525	1.25	22						
	B/5	4.06	LCA 2	457	0.05	1	Loss of majority of field. Remaining area severed (approximately 0.38ha).					
			LCA 3.2	36,746	3.67	91						
	B/6	6.24	LCA 3.2	3,823	0.38	6	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to drainage system.					

Table 1: Potential Impacts for Agricultural Fields



Land interest	Land interest						Potential Impacts					
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%						
	B/7	1.25	LCA 2	251	0.03	2	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to drainage system.					
			LCA 3.2	7,120	0.71	57						
	B/8	2.96	LCA 2	6,896	0.69	23	Loss of boundary features and disruption to drainage.					
			LCA 3.2	201	0.02	<1						
Ashton Farm East (Ref. C)	C/1	6.27	LCA 2	362	0.04	<1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Disruption to sporting activities, including rough game shooting and deer stalking, during construction.					
			LCA 3.2	1,093	0.11	2						
	C/2	7.17	LCA 2	7,480	0.75	10	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
			LCA 3.2	61	0.01	<1	Disruption to sporting activities, including rough game shooting and deer stalking, during construction.					
Seafield Park Partnership (Ref. D)	D/1	12.69	LCA 2	21,932	2.19	17	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Field severed into two areas.					

Table 2: Potential Significance of Impact and Mitigation for Agricultural and Sporting Interests

Land Interest	Land Interest				Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance			
McIver Contracting Ltd	4	405	LCA 3.1	7,984	0.80	<1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of McIver Contracting Ltd at Beechwood Farm	Slight			
(Ref. A)			LCA 3.2	70,787	7.07	2				Provision of access to severed fields from adjacent land.				
			Other land	8,804	0.88	<1				Mitigation Items: CP-AG01, CP-AG02, CP-AG03, CP- AG04, CP-AG05, CP-AG06, CP-AG07, CP-AG08, CP- AG09, CP-AG10, CP-AG11, CP-AG12 and CP-AG13.				
			Total	87,575	8.75	2				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 2% of the total farmed area.				
										Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.				
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.				

JACOBS[°]

DMRB Stage 3 Environmental Impact Assessment Report

Appendix A15.4: Agriculture and Sporting Land: Pre- and Post-Mitigation Impacts

Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
K&C Munro Ashton Suffolks (Ref. B)	8	61	LCA 2	24,793	2.48	4	High	High	Substantial	Summary of K&C Munro Ashton Suffolks	Substantial	
(LCA 3.1	16,975	1.70	3				Provision of new accesses to severed areas B/2 and B/4 via Eastfield Way Roundabout to Inverness Retail and Business Park link road (Link 3). Provision of new access to severed field B/6.		
			LCA 3.2	60,414	6.04	10				Opportunity to merge B/4 and severed parcel of B/5.		
			Other land	11,037	1.10	2				Mitigation Items: CP-AG01, CP-AG02, CP-AG03, CP- AG04, CP-AG05, CP-AG06, CP-AG7, CP-AG8, CP-AG9, CP-AG10, CP-AG11, CP-AG12 and CP-AG13.		
			Total	113,219	11.32	19				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 19% of the total farmed area.		
										Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		
Ashton Farm East (Ref. C)	2	44	LCA 2	7,842	0.78	2	High	Low	Slight/ Moderate	Summary of Ashton Farm East	Slight/ Moderate	
			LCA 3.2	1,155	0.12	<1				Mitigation Items: CP-AG01, CP-AG02, CP-AG03, CP- AG04, CP-AG05, CP-AG06, CP-AG07, CP-AG08, CP- AG09, CP-AG10, CP-AG11, CP-AG12, CP-AG13 and CP- S1.		
			Other land	980	0.10	<1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 2% of the total farmed area.		
			Total	9,977	1.00	2				Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on		
										the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		
Seafield Park	1	324	LCA 2	21,932	2.19	<1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Seafield Park Partnership at Seafield of	Slight	
Partnership (Ref. D)			Other land	113	0.01	<1				Raigmore		
										Provision of new accesses to severed areas of D/1.		

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 3 Environmental Impact Assessment Report Appendix A15.4: Agriculture and Sporting Land: Pre- and Post-Mitigation Impacts



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
			Total	22,045	2.20	1				Mitigation Items: CP-AG01, CP-AG02, CP-AG03, CP- AG04, CP-AG05, CP-AG06, CP-AG07, CP-AG08, CP- AG09, CP-AG10, CP-AG11, CP-AG12 and CP-AG13. The farm business would have permanent land-take of 1% of the total farmed area. Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		