



Contractor

FCBC

Queensferry  
Crossing

DRAGADOS | AMERICAN BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL  
HOCHTIEF | MORRISON CONSTRUCTION

Project FORTH REPLACEMENT CROSSING

Document title

VIBRATION MONITORING REPORT

FEBRUARY 2017

00	10/03/2017	First draft	DCK	SWR	SWR
<b>Rev</b>	<b>Rev. Date</b>	<b>Purpose of revision</b>	<b>Made</b>	<b>Reviewed</b>	<b>Approved</b>

Document status

FOR REVIEW

Made by David Clark	Checked By: Steven Westwater
Initials: DCK	Initials: SWR

Document number	Rev
<b>REP-00315</b>	<b>00</b>

This document is intellectual property of FCBC Construction JV. Copying, distribution, usage, and information on contents of this are forbidden unless explicitly authorized.



DRAGADOS | AMERICAN BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL  
HOCHTIEF | MORRISON CONSTRUCTION

## **Contents**

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Monitoring Summary**
- 3. Conclusion**

## **Appendices:**

**Appendix A: Vibration Assessments from Relevant PCNVs**

**Appendix B: PPV and VDV Graphs**

## INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Monitoring of construction vibration is being undertaken by FCBC during the construction of the new Forth Crossing and associated road network. This report covers the month of February 2017. The objective of this report is to detail the vibration monitoring that has been undertaken across the site during this period, which has been done so in accordance with the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP), and Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP).
  
- 1.2. FCBC carefully risk assesses noise & vibration likely to result from all construction activities, through the production of Plans for Control of Noise & Vibration (PCNVs). During the preparation of PCNVs, vibration prediction assessments are made. These assessments illustrate that no construction plant, equipment or methodology to be used by FCBC are envisaged to induce any levels of vibration at sensitive receptors that would exceed the vibration threshold levels stated in the CoCP. These assessments/predictions have been validated by means of the vibration monitoring results displayed in this report.

## 2. MONITORING SUMMARY

- 2.1. Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report do not represent levels generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment. This can include, for example, footsteps or doors being slammed, or indeed any significant movements occurring close to the monitoring equipment.
- 2.2. According to the BS5228-2 (2009) there is minimal documented proof of actual damage to structures or their finishes resulting from construction vibration, and damage resulting solely from well-controlled construction and demolition vibration is rare. There are many other mechanisms that cause damage, especially in decorative finishes, and it is often incorrectly concluded that vibrations from construction and demolition sites are to blame. In many cases it is not possible to ascertain the exact source of vibration, though it is possible to rule out construction as a source on an activity basis.
- 2.3. The works carried out in each of the various construction work areas as well as the related vibration assessments are summarised in Appendix A.
- 2.4. Considering the distances between the various construction work areas and sensitive receptors as well as working methods utilised, the risk of any damage to structures or nuisance to residents occurring as a result of FCBC construction-related vibration is highly unlikely.
- 2.5. The number of threshold exceedances at the various vibration monitoring stations during the relevant period are shown in Table 1 below.

**Table 1: Number of exceedances of thresholds set out in the CoCP**

*February 2017*

Location	PPV Exceedance		VDV Exceedance	
	<i>Continuous</i> (5 mm.s <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>Intermittent</i> (10 mm.s <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>Day</i> (0.4 m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )	<i>Night</i> (0.2 m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )
Linn Mill	2	1	2	0
Butlaw Fisheries	1	0	0	0
Clufflat Brae	10	3	0	0
Dundas Home Farm	0	0	0	0
Echline	0	0	0	0
Inchgarvie Lodge	8	5	0	0
Scotstoun	0	0	0	0
Springfield	8	15	0	0
Tigh-Na-Grian	0	0	0	0
Whinnyhill	16	2	0	0

- 2.6.** Peak Particle Velocity (PPV) is used to measure vibration through a solid surface. When a vibration is measured, the point at which the measurement takes place can be considered to have a particle velocity. This particle vibration will take place in three dimensions (x, y and z).
- 2.7.** The Peak Particle Velocity is the highest velocity of the 3 axis that are recorded during a particular event, and as such is appropriate for the measurement of activities such as blasting, piling and compacting. The thresholds for the Forth Replacement Crossing are 5 mm.s<sup>-1</sup> for continuous construction (e.g. piling), and 10 mm.s<sup>-1</sup> for intermittent construction (e.g. blasting).
- 2.8.** These thresholds are set to protect against building damage. For this monitoring period, all the exceedances have been investigated thoroughly and appear to have been generated as a result of standalone, instantaneous events arising from local interferences, the exact source of which remains unknown.

- 2.9.** Vibration Dose Value (VDV) is a metric used in vibration monitoring. It is calculated by taking the fourth root of the integral of the fourth power of acceleration after it has been frequency-weighted. The frequency-weighted acceleration is measured in  $\text{m.s}^{-2}$  and the time period over which the VDV is measured is in seconds. This yields VDV in  $\text{m.s}^{-1.75}$ .
- 2.10.** The vibration dose value (VDV), a cumulative measurement of the vibration level received over an 8-hour (night time) or 16-hour (day time) period, is recommended in BS 6472 as the appropriate measure to evaluate human exposure to vibration in buildings in residential and other uses.
- 2.11.** During the monitoring period, vibratory rollers and whacker plates were used intermittently at several locations around the site however no exceedances were recorded as a result of the use of this equipment.
- 2.12.** Detailed investigation of all other exceedances (i.e. review of PPV levels over 30 seconds periods) has suggested that each resulted from isolated, non-construction related events, which occurred close to the monitoring station.
- 2.13.** Within the Appendix B, there are gaps of missing data in the PPV and VDV at Linn Mill. This occurred due to a power issue.

### **3. CONCLUSION**

- 3.1.** Considering the distance between FCBC construction works and sensitive receptors, and the methods of working utilised, the risk of damage to structures or nuisance to residents resulting from vibration is highly unlikely.
  
- 3.2.** Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report are unlikely to be generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment.



DRAGADOS | AMERICAN BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL  
HOCHTIEF | MORRISON CONSTRUCTION

## **APPENDIX A – MONITORING LOCATIONS & VIBRATION ASSESSMENTS FROM RELEVANT PCNVs**



**Table 2: Monitoring Locations**

Ref.	Monitoring Location	Crossing or Network	Main Construction Activities During February 2017
M1	Whinny Hill	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earth Works/Fill Placement</li> <li>• Park and Ride works</li> <li>• Main carriageway roadworks</li> </ul>
M3	Tigh-Na-Grian	Crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central Tower stay cable installation works</li> <li>• North Tower stay cable installation works</li> <li>• Falsework removal</li> <li>• Tower Crane removal</li> <li>• Windshield installation</li> <li>• Bridge Deck works</li> <li>• North abutment works</li> </ul>
M7	Butlaw Fisheries	Crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central Tower stay cable installation works</li> <li>• AVS concrete works on deck</li> <li>• Waterproofing on deck</li> <li>• Wind shield installation</li> <li>• Scour protection</li> <li>• South Tower deck section lifts and stay cable installation works</li> <li>• Bridge deck works</li> </ul>
M10	Inchgarvie Lodge	Crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor main carriageway works</li> <li>• SUDS detention basin works</li> <li>• AVS concrete works on deck</li> <li>• Waterproofing on deck</li> <li>• Wind shield installation</li> <li>• Scour protection</li> <li>• South Tower deck section lifts and stay cable installation works</li> <li>• Bridge deck works</li> <li>• South abutment works</li> </ul>
M11	Linn Mill	Network (close proximity to Crossing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor main carriageway works</li> <li>• AVS concrete works on deck</li> <li>• Waterproofing on deck</li> <li>• Wind shield installation</li> <li>• Scour protection</li> <li>• South Tower deck section lifts and stay cable installation works</li> <li>• Bridge deck works</li> <li>• South abutment works</li> </ul>
M13	Clufflat Brae	Crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor main carriageway works</li> <li>• Footpath/cycleway works</li> <li>• SUDS detention basin works</li> <li>• AVS concrete works on deck</li> <li>• Waterproofing on deck</li> <li>• Wind shield installation</li> <li>• Scour protection</li> <li>• South Tower deck section lifts and stay cable installation works</li> <li>• Bridge deck works</li> <li>• South abutment works</li> </ul>



DRAGADOS | AMERICAN BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL  
HOCHTIEF | MORRISON CONSTRUCTION

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cycle footpath work</li> </ul>
M14	Springfield	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor main carriageway works</li> <li>• Footpath/cycleway works</li> <li>• SUDS detention basin works</li> <li>• South abutment works</li> </ul>
M15	Echline	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AVS rebar and concrete deck works</li> <li>• South Abutment works</li> <li>• Main carriageway roadworks</li> <li>• Footpath/cycleway works</li> </ul>
M16	Scotstoun	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main carriageway roadworks</li> </ul>
M17	Dundas Home Farm	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main carriageway roadworks</li> </ul>
M18	Newton	Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outside Works Area</li> </ul>


**Table 3: PCNV Predicted PPV & VDV Levels**


Monitor	Minimum distance from work areas (m)		Type of vibration emitting plant/activity operated at nearest work areas	Worst case predicted vibration levels	
	Day (07:00-19:00)	Night (19:00-07:00)		PPV (mm/s)	eVDV (m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )
Butlaw Fisheries	130	160	Roller/Whacker	0.44	0.23
Clufflat Brae	40	90	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37
Dundas	75	2000	Roller/Whacker	0.98	0.33
Echline	40	1000	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37
Inchgarvie Lodge	50	40	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33
Linn Mill	60	250	Roller/Whacker	1.36	0.33
Scotstoun	40	2000	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37
Springfield	50	300	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33
Tigh-Na-Grian	200	200	N/A	-	-
Whinny Hill	180	1800	Roller/Whacker	0.383	0.04

**Notes on Table 3**


- All plant used during construction activities has been assessed with respect to vibration. The only plant utilised considered to generate appreciable levels of vibration was a vibratory roller and a whacker plate (NOTE: Hydraulic rock breakers which typically generate 4.5mm/s @ 5m, 0.4mm/s @ 20m, 0.1mm/s @ 50m have been discounted due to the distances of use from the closest receptors).
- Vibratory rollers were not operated within 20m of any sensitive receptor.
- Whacker plates were not utilised within 40m of any occupied sensitive receptor.
- All roller eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a vibratory roller remaining in continuous operation for 2 hours an average distance (100m) from the nearest occupied receptors.
- All whacker plate eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a whacker plate remaining in continuous operation for 2 hours a minimum distance from the nearest receptor.

## APPENDIX B – VIBRATION GRAPHS






Exceedance on the 20th of February has been investigated and found to be caused by an isolated event that is unlikely to have been due to a construction related activity (graph above from the 20/02/2017).



### Measured Night Time (23:00-07:00) Vibration Dose Values (VDV), Butlaw, Measurement period: February 2017



**Construction VDV Threshold**

Daily night time VDV threshold for residential dwellings


**Measured VDV**


■ Daily night time VDV (z-axis)

(n) = Investigation Report Number


**Note:** Only vibration data measured in the vertical axis (z-axis) is presented as this is the highest of the vertical, lateral and radial vibration measured.








Exceedances on the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 02/02/2017). With this monitor being located in a public amenity area there is a likelihood that the exceedances seen above might have been caused by pedestrians using the area.



Exceedances on the 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 14/02/2017).

### Measured Daytime (07:00-23:00) Vibration Dose Values (VDV), Clufflat, Measurement period: February 2017



**Construction VDV Threshold**


Daily daytime VDV threshold for residential dwellings


**Measured VDV**


■ Daily daytime VDV (z-axis)

(n) = Investigation Report Number


**Note:** Only vibration data measured in the vertical axis (z-axis) is presented as this is the highest of the vertical, lateral and radial vibration measured.







### Measured Night Time (23:00-07:00) Vibration Dose Values (VDV), Dundas Home Farm, Measurement period: February 2017



**Construction VDV Threshold**


Daily night time VDV threshold for residential dwellings

**Measured VDV**


■ Daily night time VDV (z-axis)


(n) = Investigation Report Number


**Note:** Only vibration data measured in the vertical axis (z-axis) is presented as this is the highest of the vertical, lateral and radial vibration measured.


















Exceedances on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 20/02/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused these exceedances.




Exceedances on the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours and therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 05/02/2017).








Data missing on the 20<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> February was caused by electrical issue with monitor.




Exceedances on the 11<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 19/02/2017). The monitor is situated in close proximity to the residents outdoor log store situated in the back garden. It is possible that these high readings were due to the residents fetching logs from this store area.







Data missing on the 20<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> of February was caused by electrical issue with monitor.




Exceedances on the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 17/02/2017).





Data missing on the 20<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> of February was caused by electrical issue with monitor.







### Measured Night Time (23:00-07:00) Vibration Dose Values (VDV), Scotstoun, Measurement period: February 2017








Exceedances throughout February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 19/02/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused these exceedances.





Exceedances on the 6<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by the environmental department carrying out maintenance on noise monitor (graph above from the 15/02/2017).




Exceedances on the 8<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours and therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 18/02/2017).










### Measured Daytime (07:00-23:00) Vibration Dose Values (VDV), Tigh-Na-Grian, Measurement period: February 2017



**Construction VDV Threshold**


Daily daytime VDV threshold for residential dwellings


**Measured VDV**


■ Daily daytime VDV (z-axis)

(n) = Investigation Report Number


**Note:** Only vibration data measured in the vertical axis (z-axis) is presented as this is the highest of the vertical, lateral and radial vibration measured.








Exceedances on the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 05/02/2017).



Exceedances on the 6<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused by the environmental department carrying out maintenance on noise monitor (graph above from the 28/02/2017).



Exceedances on the 7<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> of February have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours and therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 07/02/2017).

