#### Annex A Environmental Worksheets and Useful Contacts

#### A.1 Introduction

This section sets out a series of worksheets and useful environmental contacts and should be read in conjunction with Section 7 (Environment).

Worksheets are provided to assist in carrying out a Part 2 Appraisal of the environmental impacts of a proposal. The completion of worksheets is not compulsory; however they do provide confidence that the appraisal has been carried out objectively and they do provide a robust audit trail of the appraisal process and as such are recommended to the planner.

The content of the appendix and worksheets provided are set out in Table A1 below.

**Table A1: Index of Worksheets** 

Section	Heading	Worksheet	Title				
A.2	Noise and Vibration	N1	Noise - Strategic Level				
A.Z	Noise and Vibration	N2	Noise - Project Level				
		A1	Air Quality - Strategic Level				
A.3	Local Air Quality	A2	Air Quality - Project Level - PM <sub>10</sub>				
A.5	Local All Quality	A3	Air Quality - Project Level - NO <sub>2</sub>				
		A4	Air Quality - Strategic & Project Level				
A.4	Water Quality, Drainage &	W1	Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding -				
	Flood Defence		Strategic & Project Level				
A.5	Geology	G1	Geology - Strategic & Project Level				
		B1	Biodiversity - Strategic & Project				
A.6	Biodiversity & Habitats		Level, Baseline Information				
7.10	Biodiversity & Habitats	B2	Biodiversity - Strategic & Project				
			Level, Impact Assessment				
		AG1	Agriculture & Soils - Strategic &				
A.7	Agriculture and Soils		Project Level, Baseline Information				
		AG2	Agriculture & Soils - Strategic &				
			Project Level, Impact Assessment				
		CH1	Cultural Heritage - Strategic Level				
		CH2	Cultural Heritage - Strategic Level,				
			Assessment Score				
A.8	Cultural Heritage	CH3	Cultural Heritage - Project Level,				
		CITA	Baseline Information				
		CH4	Cultural Heritage - Project Level				
		CH5	Cultural Heritage - Project Level,				
A 0	Dhysical Fitness	D1	Assessment Score				
A.9	Physical Fitness	P1	Physical Fitness – Project Level				
A.11	Useful Environmental	-	-				
	Contacts						

A.2 Noise and Vibration

Worksheet N1: Noise - Strategic Level

Proposal Name:  Location:  Existing & Future Noise Issues:  Do-Minimum Vs. Existing & Future Noise Issues:  Existing & Future Noise Issues:  Do-Minimum Vs. Existing & Change in Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Zone:  A B C=B-A  D E F=D*E/1000  Froposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal
Location:    Do-Minimum Vs. Existing   Do-Minimum Vs. Emission Level (dB)   Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Do-Minimum Proposal Vs. Do-Minimum Proposa
Do-Minimum vs. Existing Semission Level (dB)  Zone: A B C=B-A D E F=D*E/1000 G H=F*G  Population Exposed (numbers of people)  Totals TOTAL - TOT
Do-Minimum vs. Existing  Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Zone:  A  B  Change in Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  A  B  C=B-A  D  English of all Relevant Transport Corridors (km)  Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Area of Population Exposed (number of people)  Annoyance Response Function (number of people)  Annoyance Response (number of people)  Annoyance Response (number of people)  Area of Population Exposed (number of people)
Totals  TOTAL  Proposal vs. Do-minimum Noise Emission Level (dB)  Totals  - TOTAL  - Population Exposed (numbers of people)
Proposal vs. Do-minimum Noise Do-minimum Level (dB)  Proposal vs. Do-minimum Level (dB)  Do-Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Do-Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Change in Relevant Transport Corridors (km)  Width of Impact Corridor (m)  Area of Population Exposed (numbers of people)  Of people)  Population Exposed (numbers of people)
Proposal vs. Do-minimum Noise Emission Level (dB)  Proposal vs. Do-minimum Level Level (dB)  Do-Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Do-Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Do-Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)  Change in Relevant Transport Corridors (km)  Width of Impact Corridor (m)  Area of Population Exposed (numbers of people)  Population Exposed (numbers of people)  Of people)  Population Exposed (numbers of people)
Proposal vs. Do-minimum Do-minimum Level (dB)  Minimum Average Noise Emission Level (dB)
Totals TOTAL TOTAL
Do-Minimum vs. Existing  Do-Minimum vs. Existing  Do-Minimum vs. Existing  Proposal vs. Do-Minimum Spatial / Social Groups Affected  Assessmen (where appropriate)  Assessmen Score 7
Increase in Population Annoyed
Reduction in Population Annoyed
No Change Population Annoyed
Total Change in Population Annoyed
Key Assumptions <sup>8</sup> :
Key Data Sources:

#### Notes to Worksheet N1

- 1 Based on WebTAG Unit 3.3.2 Worksheet 2.
- 2 Estimate average noise emissions using CRTN or CRN indicators for year 15.
- 3 Only continue calculations for zones where do-minimum or strategy noise emissions are 55 dB  $L_{Aeq, 18hr}$  or more.
- 4 50M is considered reasonable to capture the majority of the population exposed in an urban / suburban area.
- 5 Assumed to be 3% per dB for road noise and 2% per dB for rail noise at average levels greater than 65 dB L<sub>Aeq, 18hr</sub>. (see Table 7.1 in the STAG Technical Database).
- 6 A separate calculation sheet can be used to compare existing with proposal if this is required.
- 7 Using 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.
- 8 Include a description of any mitigation assumed to be in place.

# Worksheet N2: Noise - Project Level

Proposal Name:		Existing	g & Future	e Noise I	ssues:		Worksh	eet N2:	Noise - F	Project Level <sup>1</sup>			
			. Cala lai	2			Date of A	Assessm	ent:				
Location:		Previou	ıs Calculat	tions-:			Assessm	ent Year	:				
Road Traffic Noise <sup>3</sup> LA10, 18 hour	Estimate	d Population	Exposed	No. Properties with a Change in Noise Levels >3dB(A) <sup>4</sup>		% Highly Bothered/ Annoyed by	Estimated Population Highly Bothered / Annoyed		ered /	Spatial/Social Groups	Mitigation / Enhancement Description in	Impact Significance	
(dB)	Existing	Do-Min	Proposed	Propos Do-		Noise <sup>5</sup>	Existing	Do-Min Proposed		Affected	words.	Assessment <sup>6</sup>	
	Α	В	С	-ve	+ve		=A*%	=B*%	=C*%				
<57						<10%						Difference	
57-59						11%						between	
60-64						16%						totals of	
65-69						26%						people	
70-74						39%						annoyed and	
>74						>48%						comments re	
Estim	ated Popu	lation Annoy	ed by Roa	ad Traffic	: Noise:							large changes.	
Railway Noise LAed	ı, 18 hour	(dB)											
<55						<11%							
55-59						12%							
60-64						18%							
65-69						25%							
70-74						34%							
>74						40%							
		Estimated	Populatio	n Annoy	ed by R	ailway Noise:							
Total Population Annoyed <sup>5</sup> by Railwa										Noise Related ( appropriate)	Objectives (wh	ere	
Key Assumptions:													
Key Data Sources:													

#### **Notes to Worksheet N2**

- 1 Based on WebTAG Unit 3.3.2 Worksheet 1
- 2 Provide numbers of people annoyed and distribution data from any previous calculations where undertaken.
- 3 Assumes stated mitigation is implemented.
- 4 If appropriate, these data will require consideration of individual properties or groups of properties to identify numbers of properties with noise changes >3dB(A). A separate worksheet can be used to compare do-minimum to existing, if this is required.
- 5 From Table 7.1, STAG Technical Database. Annoyance response for road noise is also provided in Figure 2, DMRB 11.3.7.
- 6 Using 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.

# A.3 Local Air Quality

# Worksheet A1: Local Air Quality - Strategic Level

Proposal Name:	Existing & Future Air Quality Issues:					Worksheet A1: Air Quali Assessment Date: Assessment Year:					ity - S	trategic L	.evel <sup>1</sup>	
Emissions Estimate NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2,3</sup>														
Location /		or Each n/Zone	Exi	sting	Do-Mini	mum	Prop	osal	Do-	Minimum Existin			Something Do-Minim	_
Location / Zone	People A	Area km² <i>B</i>	Tonnes / Year C	Index <i>D</i> = (C*A)/B	Tonnes/ Year <i>E</i>	Index F= (E*A)/B	Tonnes/ Year G	Index <i>H=</i> (G*A)/B	J =H-D	No. People Better	No. People Worse	K =H-F	No. People Better	No. People Worse
1														
2														
n														
	Total A	Total B	Total C	Total D	Total E	Total F	Total G	Total H	Total J	Total Better	Total Worse	Total <i>K</i>	Total Better	Total Worse

<b>Emissions</b>	Emissions Estimate PM <sub>10</sub> <sup>1</sup>														
Location /		Data for Each Location/Zone		Existing		Do-Minimum		Do Something		Do-Minimum minus Existing			Do Something minus Do-Minimum		
Zone	People A	Area km² <i>B</i>	Tonnes / Year C	Index <i>D</i> = (C*A)/B	Tonnes/ Year <i>E</i>	Index F= (E*A)/B	Tonnes/ Year <i>G</i>	Index <i>H=</i> ( <i>G*A</i> )/ <i>B</i>	J = H-D	No. People Better	No. People Worse	К =H-F	No. People Better	No. People Worse	
1															
2															
n															
	Total A	Total B	Total C	Total D	Total <i>E</i>	Total F	Total G	Total H	Total J	Total Better	Total Worse	Total <i>K</i>	Total Better	Total Worse	
Key Assumptions:															
Key Data S	ources:														

#### **Notes to Worksheet A1**

- 1 Based on WebTAG Unit 3.3.3 Worksheet 2.
- 2 Total  $CO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $NO_2$  emissions for road traffic can be calculated using the 'Regional' application of the DMRB spreadsheet, as described in DMRB 11.3.1.
- 3 Zones within transport models will usually be of differing sizes. Study areas will also differ in size. Therefore total emissions should be expressed in terms of emission per unit area (e.g. tonnes per km² per year).
- 4 A separate worksheet can be used to compare do-minimum to existing, if this is required.

# Worksheet A2: Local Air Quality - Project PM<sub>10</sub>

Proposal Na	ame:		& Future Air		Workshe		ir Qualit	y - Proj	ect PM <sub>10</sub>	1	
Location: Previous Ca	alculations $PM_{10}$ :	Quality Is	ssues:			Assessme Assessme					
	ening Year: Do M	inimum	Exposure to	Future Year:	Exposure to	7.556551116	inc rearr				
Distance Bands	No. Properties	PM <sub>10</sub> <sup>2</sup>	PM <sub>10</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup> Do-Min.	Do-Minimum <sup>3</sup> PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup> Do-Min	Spatial / Social Groups Particularly Affect			cted		
0-50m	А	В	C = A*B	D	E = A*D						
50-100m											
100-150m											
150-200m											
0-200m											
Opening Year: Proposal								sal vs. D			
			Exposure to PM <sub>10</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Future Year:	I DM Ha/m <sup>2</sup> I	Difference in Exposures			<u>ımber of</u> ıg Year		es e Year
Distance Bands	No. Properties	$PM_{10}$	Proposal	Proposal PM <sub>10</sub>	Proposal.	Opening Year	Future Year	Better	Worse	Better	Worse
0-50m	G	Н	I = G*H	J	$K = G^*J$	I-C	L-F				
50-100m											
100-150m											
150-200m											
0-200m					Total Difference in Exposure:			Total	Total	Total	Total
Key Assum	ptions										
Key Data S	Sources										

#### **Notes to Worksheet A2**

- 1 Based on WebTAG Unit 3.3.3 Worksheets 1a and 1b.
- 2 Total  $CO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $NO_2$  emissions for road traffic can be calculated using the 'Local' application of the DMRB spreadsheet, as described in DMRB 11.3.1.
- 3 Appropriate future year.
- 4 A separate worksheet can be used to compare do-minimum to existing, if this is required.

# Worksheet A3: Air Quality - Project NO<sub>2</sub>

Proposal Na							et A3: A	ir Qualit	y - Proj	ect NO <sub>2</sub>	1
Location:		Quality I	ssues:			Assessme					
	Iculations NO <sub>2</sub> :					Assessme	nt Year:				
Ope	ening Year: Do M	linimum	Exposure to	Future Year:	Exposure to						
Distance Bands	No. Properties	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub> μg/m <sup>3</sup> Do-Min	Do-Minimum <sup>3</sup> NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> μg/m <sup>3</sup> Do-Min.	Spati	ial / Socia	ıl Groups	Particul	arly Affe	cted
0-50m	А	В	C = A*B	D	E = A*D						
50-100m											
100-150m											
150-200m											
0-200m											
0	pening Year: Pro	posal					Propo	sal vs. D	o Minim	um <sup>4</sup>	
			Exposure to	Future Year:	Exposure to	Differe	ence in			Properti	
Distance	No. Properties	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Proposal NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> μg/m <sup>3</sup>		sures	Openin	g Year	Future	e Year
Bands	nor repercies	1102	Proposal		Proposal.	Opening Year	Future Year	Better	Worse	Better	Worse
0-50m	G	Н	I = G*H	J	K = G*J	I-C	L-F				
50-100m											
100-150m											
150-200m											
0-200m					Total Difference in Exposure:			Total	Total	Total	Total
Key Assump	tions							-	-		_
Key Data Sc	ources										

#### **Notes to Worksheet A3**

- 1 Based on DMRB Vol 11, Section 3 Part 1 methodology.
- 2 Total  $CO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $NO_2$  emissions for road traffic can be calculated using the 'Local' application of the DMRB spreadsheet, as described in DMRB 11.3.1.
- 3 Appropriate future year.
- 4 A separate worksheet can be used to compare do-minimum to existing, if this is required.

# Worksheet A4: Air Quality - Strategic & Project Level

Proposal Name:					Worksheet A Level	4: Air Quality -	Strategic & Project
Existing & Future Air Quality						Assessment Date:	
Issues:						Assessment Year:	
Location & Sensitivity <sup>1</sup>	Relevant Policies / Objectives / AQS Objectives	Impact Description <sup>2</sup>	Impact Magnitude <sup>3</sup>	Timescale: When / Duration	Uncertainty⁴	Mitigation / Monitoring⁵	Impact Significance Assessment
Key Assumptions:							
Key Data Sources:							

#### **Notes to Worksheet A4**

- 1 Make reference to location of change and any relevant spatial or social groups particularly affected.
- 2 Expressed in terms of change in traffic characteristics or distance between source and receptors etc.
- 3 Those pollutants giving rise to relevant change in relation to standards / objectives.
- 4 Level of uncertainty in assessment (High, Medium, Low).
- 5 Note need for mitigation / monitoring and organisation responsible.

# A.4 Water Quality, Drainage and Flood Defence

Worksheet W1: Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding - Strategic Project Level

Proposal	Name	<u> </u>		Worksheet W1: Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding - Strategic / Project Level										
Existing 8	& Future Wate	er Issues:						Assessm	ent Date:					
Location <sup>1</sup>	Water Use <sup>2</sup>	Resource Quality / Status <sup>3</sup>	Objectives⁴	Scale it Matters⁵	Potential Impacts	Timescales: When / Duration	Ease of Substitution <sup>6</sup>	Uncertainty <sup>7</sup>	Mitigation Potential	Impact Significance Assessment <sup>8</sup>				
Surface V	Vaters <sup>9</sup>													
Groundwa	ater <sup>10</sup>		1					· ·						
Land Dra	inage / Flood	Defence												
Key Assu	mptions:													
Key Data	Sources:													

#### Notes to Worksheet W1

- 1 At project level, watercourses should be considered in terms of their separate reaches.
- 2 Fisheries / recreation / abstraction
- 3 Status of surface water, aquifer protection or level of flood risk. Status also to be reported in terms of Scottish River, Loch, Coastal Waters and Estuary Classification Schemes to reflect their sensitivity and also in terms of whether the watercourses in the area are a designated salmonid or cyprinid fishery.
- 4 Objectives set nationally or locally as well as environmental capital objectives.
- 5 Scale of relevance to decision makers.
- 6 See Section 7.4.4.3 in the STAG Technical Database.
- 7 Level of uncertainty in assessment (high, medium, low).
- 8 Using 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.
- 9 Include consideration of surface water run-off.
- 10 Name of aquifer.

# A.5 Geological Features

# Worksheet G1: Geological Features - Strategy & Project Level

Proposal Na	me:				Worksheet G	1: Geological Fea	atures - Sti	rategy &	Project Level			
Existing & F	uture Issues:						Assessment	Date:				
Scale it Matters <sup>1</sup>	Attribute / Feature / Designation	Location / Status <sup>2</sup>	Relevant Objectives <sup>3</sup>	Potential Impact	Ease of Substitution	Timescale: When / Duration	Uncertainty	Mitigation	Impact Significance Assessment <sup>4</sup>			
Geological S	Geological Sites											
Mineral Res	erves											
Key Assumı	otions:											
Key Data S	ources:											

#### **Notes to Worksheet G1**

- 1 List in order of importance e.g. International, National, Regional, Local.
- 2 Indicate mineral resource.
- 3 Following discussions with statutory body.
- 4 Using 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.

# A.6 Biodiversity & Habitats

# Worksheet B1: Biodiversity & Habitats - Strategic & Project Level, Baseline Information

Proposal Name:		Worksheet B1: Biodiversity - Strategic & Project Level, Baseline Information											
Existing & Future Issues:					Assessment Date:								
Location / Status <sup>1</sup>	Attribute / Feature Habitats / Species <sup>2</sup>	Scale it Matters	Importance <sup>3</sup>	Trend / Status	Ease of Substitution	Relevant Objectives <sup>4</sup>							
International Designated Features													
National Designated F	eatures												
Regional Designated F	eatures												
Local / Other Designa	ted Features												

#### **Notes to Worksheet B1**

- 1 The name / location and designation of any relevant site / area should be provided.
- 2 Key characteristics of note.
- 3 As discussed in Section 7.4.6.5 of the STAG Technical Database, the assessment should be carried out according to the Ratcliffe criteria.
- 4 Relevant objectives to be taken from BAPs and other relevant documents.

International	Special Protection Areas, Special Areas of Conservation, Ramsar Sites, Natura 2000 sites and other international convention sites.
National	Site of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, National Biodiversity Action Plans, National Parks and other statutory designated national sites.
Regional	SNH's Natural Heritage Futures, structure plan designations and other sites of regional importance.
Local/Other	Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Interest to Nature Conservation (SINC), SWT sites, other Local Plan designations, Local Biodiversity Action Plans.

# Worksheet B2: Biodiversity & Habitats - Strategic & Project Level, Impact Assessment

Proposal Name:	Worksheet B2: Biodiversity - Strategic & Project Level, Impact Assessment					oject Level, Impact
Location	Potential Impacts	Potential for Cumulative Effects <sup>1</sup>	Timescales: When / Duration	Uncertainty	Mitigation	Impact Significance Assessment <sup>2</sup>
Key Assumptions:						
Key Data Sources:						

#### **Notes to Worksheet B2**

1 Consider potential for impacts not just within the proposal but also with other external actions potentially affecting the site or resource.

2 Use 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.

# A.7 Agriculture and Soils (AG1, AG2)

# Worksheet AG1: Agriculture & Soils - Strategic & Project Level, Baseline Information

Proposal Name:		Worksheet AG1: Agriculture & Soils - Strategic & Project Level, Baseline Information						
Existing & Future Issues:					Assessment Date:			
Location / Status <sup>1</sup>	Attribute / Feature <sup>2</sup>	Scale it Matters	Importance	Trend / Status	Land Take <sup>3</sup>	Ease of Substitution		

#### **Notes to Worksheet AG1**

- 1 The name / location and predominant agricultural land class for the area / site should be provided.
- 2 Key agricultural characteristics of note such as importance to wider agricultural activities should be provided or preponderance of organic farming.
- 3 A broad estimate of land take should be made at a strategic level with more accurate figures provided at the project level.

# Worksheet AG2: Agriculture & Soils - Strategic & Project Level, Impact Assessment

Proposal Name:			Worksheet AG2: Agr	iculture & Soils	- Strategic	& Project L	evel, Impact Assessment
Location	Potenti	al Impacts <sup>1</sup>		Timescale:	Uncertainty	Mitigation	Impact Significance
Location	Husbandry	Severance		When / Duration	Officertainty	Midgadon	Impact Significance Assessment <sup>3</sup>
Key Assumptions:							
Key Data Sources:							

#### **Notes to Worksheet AG2**

- 1 Potential impacts in terms of making husbandry activities no longer viable and severance causing major structural changes in farm operations should be recorded in terms of the number of farms affected. At a strategic level an indication of such potential effects would be appropriate.
- 2 Consider potential for impacts not just within the strategy or project but also for external actions potentially affecting the site or resource.
- 3 Use 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.

#### A.8 Cultural Heritage

For the assessment of the effects upon standing structures and archaeological remains at the strategic level, STAG requires only a broad assessment of what impact a proposal may have on the 7-point scale (major negative to major positive – see STAG Technical Database Section 5.4). This includes an assessment against any specific Cultural Heritage objectives at the national, regional and local level.

At the project level the method of assessment relies upon an appreciation of the character of the individual heritage components with direct and indirect construction and operational impacts being considered.

The Part 2 AST requires a description of all designated sites and their designations in quantitative and qualitative terms.

The STAG worksheets for cultural heritage consist of five tables, two for the strategic level assessment and three for the project level assessment.

The STAG worksheets for cultural heritage require that the name and location of each site affected should be entered along with a summary description of the physical form of each site. Projects with a widespread effect, geographically or on the historic resource, should emphasise the most characteristic elements of that resource and the most significant effects on it. The cumulative impact of larger schemes should also to be recorded.

The strategic level worksheet (CH1) provides an overview of the heritage features under four designation types (international, national, regional and local/other). Table CH2 identifies impacts with an assessment score based on a 7-point scale (Major negative - Major positive).

The project level assessment comprises three worksheets:

Worksheet CH3 (Baseline Information) provides a description of the site/feature and records information in terms of 'scale it matters', 'importance', 'trend/status' and 'policy objectives'.

Worksheet CH4 (Project Level Assessment) provides an assessment of the potential effects/impacts based on 'compliance with policy objectives', 'timescale', 'uncertainty' and 'enhancement/mitigation'.

Worksheet CH5 (Assessment Scores). The final worksheet provides a review of the number of sites affected to different levels of importance based on the 7-point assessment scale.

In completing Worksheets CH2 and CH5, it is strongly recommended that scheme impact interpretation is based on the distribution of the scores. It is not appropriate to interpret the assessment findings based on the aggregation of the scores which would fail to recognise the respective grades of heritage importance.

# Worksheet CH1: Cultural Heritage - Strategic Level

Proposal Name:					Worksheet CH1:	Cultural Heritag	e - Strategic Level
Existing & Future Issues:						Assessment Date:	
Attribute / Feature	Potential In	npact	Impact Magnitude <sup>7</sup>	Timescales: When / Duration <sup>8</sup>	Relevant Policy Objectives <sup>9</sup>	Uncertainty <sup>10</sup>	Enhancement / Mitigation Potential <sup>11</sup>
	Opening Year <sup>1</sup>	Assessment Year <sup>2</sup>					
Internationally Des	signated Feat	ures³					
Nationally Designa	ted Features⁴	ı					
Regionally Designa	ted Features'	5					
Local / Other Cultu	ral Features <sup>6</sup>						
Key Data Sources:							
Key Assumptions							

#### Notes to Worksheet CH1

- 1 For each identified site describe the potential impacts of the proposal (including direct, indirect and cumulative effects) at year of opening.
- 2 For each identified site describe the potential impacts of the proposal (including direct, indirect and cumulative effects) at year of assessment (if applicable).
- 3 Based upon the occurrence of sites within 500m of possible transport measures list any World Heritage Sites.
- 4 Based upon the occurrence of sites within 300m of possible transport measures or principle network with more than 25% change in traffic volume list any Scheduled Ancient Monuments; National Trust Category A listed buildings; sites included on the inventory of History Gardens and Designed Landscapes.
- 5 List any relevant Conservation Areas, Category B listed buildings, sites of regional archaeological importance affected by the proposal.
- 6 List any relevant Category C(S) listed buildings, sites of local archaeological importance.
- 7 An assessment of the relative scale of the impact.
- 8 Identification of the timescale of the impact in terms of occurrence and duration.
- 9 Identify any National and local government policy objectives relevant to cultural heritage.
- 10 Comment on the level of certainty attached to the assessment of impacts on the basis of present information. This relates to the imperfect nature of archaeological information and/or the uncertainty about the nature and extent of works which would create the impact. The entry should reflect the level of confidence which would attach to the overall assessment.
- 11 Assessment to be undertaken 'without' and 'with' mitigation measures to demonstrate effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures.

# Worksheet CH2: Cultural Heritage - Strategic Level Assessment Score

Proposal Name:				Worksheet CH2: Cultural Heritage - Strategic Level, Assessment Score <sup>1</sup>				
Historic Features	Major Negative	Moderate Negative	Minor Negative	Neutral	Minor Positive	Moderate Positive	Major Positive	
International								
National								
Regional								
Local / Other								
Key Data Sources:								
Key Assumptions:								

#### **Notes to Worksheet CH2**

1 See guidance on determination of assessment scores in Section A.9 below.

# Worksheet CH3: Cultural Heritage - Project Level, Baseline Information

Project Name:	Worksheet CH3: Cultural Heritage - Project Level, Baseline Information							
Existing & Future Issues:				Assessment Date:				
Area / Location (Grid Ref.) <sup>1</sup>	Attribute / Feature	Scale it Matters <sup>4</sup>	Importance⁵	Trend / Status <sup>6</sup>	Relevant Policy Objectives <sup>7</sup>			
	Feature Name <sup>2</sup> :							
	Description <sup>3</sup> :							
	Feature Name:							
	Description:							
Key Data Sources:								
Key Assumptions:								

#### **Notes to Worksheet CH3**

- 1 Area / Location to include grid reference.
- 2 The official title of the property.
- 3 A short summary of the monument / property as recorded in the appropriate schedule, listing, records etc.
- 4 An assessment of the policy level at which the site or feature is regarded as important: 'national', 'regional', 'local', or 'lesser importance'.
- 5 Information on designations, which indicates the levels of importance of the site within its context. The information should allow for a great degree of differentiation between individual sites, which might all have the same level of designation, but which are not all of equal significance within their context. For example non-designated sites may be major contributors within a locality.
- 6 Statement on the relative scarcity / abundance and actual designation / status of the attribute / feature.
- 7 Central and local government objectives relevant to the feature.

# Worksheet CH4: Cultural Heritage - Project Level

Proposal Name:					Worksheet Ch	14: Cultural Heri	tage - Project Level
Existing & Future Issues:						Assessment Date:	
Site Name / Location	Potential Im Opening	Assessment	Compliance with Policy Objectives <sup>4</sup>		Timescales <sup>3</sup> : When / Duration	Uncertainty <sup>5</sup>	Enhancement / Mitigation Potential <sup>6</sup>
International Import	Year <sup>1</sup>	Year <sup>2</sup>			Daracion		
International Import	.ance	I				I	
National Importance							
Regional Importance	9						
Local / Other Featur	es						
Key Data Sources:							
Key Assumptions							

#### Notes to Worksheet CH4

- 1 For each identified site describe the potential impacts of the proposal (including direct, indirect and cumulative effects) a year of opening. Project level assessments to include impacts 'without' and 'with' the project.
- 2. For each identified site describe the potential impacts of the proposal (including direct, indirect and cumulative effects) at year of assessment (if applicable). Project level assessments to include impacts 'without' and 'with' the project.
- 3 Identification of the timescale of the impact in terms of occurrence and duration.
- 4 Identify any National and local government policy objectives relevant to cultural heritage.
- 5 Comment on the level of certainty attached to the assessment of impacts on the basis of present information. This relates to the imperfect nature of archaeological information and/or the uncertainty about the nature and extent of works which would create the impact. The entry should reflect the level of confidence which would attach to the overall assessment.
- 6. Assessment to be undertaken 'without' and 'with' mitigation measures to demonstrate effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. To include consideration of feasibility, cost and effectiveness.

# Worksheet CH5: Cultural Heritage - Project Level, Assessment Score

Proposal Name:				Worksheet CH5: Cultural Heritage - Project Level, Assessment Score <sup>1</sup>					
Historic Features	Major Negative	Moderate Negative	Minor Negative	Neutral	Minor Positive	Moderate Positive	Major Positive		
International									
National									
Regional									
Local / Other									
Key Data Sources:									
Key Assumptions:									

# **Notes to Worksheet CH5**

1 See guidance on determination of assessment scores in Section A.9 below.

# Worksheet P1: Physical Fitness – Project Level

Proposal Name: Location:	Existing & Future Physical Fitness Issues:			Worksheet P1: Physical Fitness - Project Level Date of Assessment: Assessment Year:								
Health impacts  Proposal Mean distance Proportion Average days Mean distance 1- maximum 4 1 1 1 All-cause Change in 5 11												
Proposal Vs. Do-minimum <sup>1</sup>	Mean distance travelled on route (km)		Average days travelled on route per year		achievable	1 - relative risk for study	All-cause mortality for study <sup>3</sup>	Change in number of users	Deaths avoided			
Forecast year	Α	В	С	D	Е	F=(D/1628*E)	G	Н	J=F*G*H			
1												
2												
Absenteeism ir Proposal vs. Do-minimum <sup>1</sup>	Change in number of	Average speed (kph)	Time spent travelling (mins)	Relative impact (maximum value =1)	Average absence <sup>5</sup>	Absence due to short-term sick leave	Potential employee days affected	Lost working days avoided (core result)	Lost working days avoided (upper limit (sensitivity)			
Forecast year	K	L	M=60/L*A*(1+B)	N=M/30	Р	Q=P*0.95	R=K*N*P	S=R*0.06	T=R*0.32			
1												
			Proposal vs. Do-Minimum	Spatial / Social Groups Affected		Physical Fitness related objectives (where appropriate)		Assessment Score <sup>6</sup>				
Total deaths avoided:												
Total lost working days avoided:		ed:	·									
Key Assumptions:												
Key Data Sources:												

# Notes to Worksheet P1

- 1 Include an accrual period to reflect build up of benefits following scheme opening.
- 2 The maximum achievable relative risk should typically be taken as 0.28 for cyclists and 0.15 for
- 3 Note that the rate of all-cause mortality for Scotland is 0.00324.
- 4 Note that for Scotland as a whole 42% of the population can be classed as an employee.
- 5 The average employee absence in Scotland is 6.8 days.
- 6 Using 7-point scale as described in STAG Technical Database Section 5.4.

## A.10 Guidance on the Determination of Assessment Scores for Cultural Heritage

The assessment is based on a combination of the site's significance and the impact of the scheme upon it. Considered professional judgement will be required to assess the cumulative impacts of a scheme on Cultural Heritage. For example, a number of minor impacts on sites may have an overall major impact. Impacts on related sites may have an overall effect greatly exceeding the sum of the individual impacts. The assessment uses a 7-point scale, as follows:

Major adverse; Moderate adverse; Minor adverse; Neutral; Minor positive; Moderate positive; and Major positive.

These categories are explained in the following paragraphs.

Major Adverse

An assessment of 'major adverse' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

- A limited direct impact on or partial degradation of the wider setting of
  internationally important site(s), resulting in the loss of features to such a degree
  that the integrity of the site is partly compromised, but not destroyed, or to the
  extent that their context is compromised and appreciation and understanding is
  diminished;
- A major direct physical impact on nationally important sites, resulting in the loss of features to such a degree that the integrity of the site is destroyed;
- Major visual intrusion into the immediate setting of nationally important sites, to
  the extent that their context is seriously compromised and can no longer be
  appreciated or understood. A limited direct physical impact on or compromise of
  the wider setting(s) of multiple sites of national importance, to the extent that the
  cumulative impact would seriously compromise the integrity of a related group of
  sites or historic landscape. ['Related', in this sense, can mean both a linked group
  of contemporary sites or those illustrating the development of a landscape over
  time];
- A major direct physical impact on regionally important sites, resulting in the loss
  of features to such a degree that the integrity of the site is destroyed, and either
  no adequate mitigation has been specified or it is incapable of achievement; and
- A serious direct physical impact on or compromising of the immediate setting of multiple sites of regional importance, to the extent that the cumulative impact would seriously compromise the integrity of a related group of sites or historic landscape.

Moderate Adverse

An assessment of 'moderate adverse' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

- Some limited direct physical impact on nationally important sites, resulting in the loss of features to such a degree that the integrity of the site is compromised, but not destroyed, and adequate mitigation has been specified;
- Visual intrusion into the wider setting of nationally important sites, to the extent that there context is compromised and appreciation and understanding is diminished;

- A major direct physical impact on regionally important sites, resulting in the loss
  of features to such a degree that the integrity of the site is destroyed, but
  adequate mitigation has been specified;
- Major visual intrusion into the immediate setting of regionally important sites, to the extent that their context is seriously compromised and can no longer be appreciated or understood; and
- A limited direct physical impact on or compromising of the wider setting of multiple sites of regional importance, to the extent that the cumulative impact would seriously compromise the integrity of a related group of sites or historic landscape.

#### Minor Adverse

An assessment of 'minor adverse' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

- Some direct physical impact on regionally important sites, resulting in the loss of features to such a degree that the integrity of the site is compromised, but not destroyed, and adequate mitigation could be specified;
- Visual intrusion into the wider setting of regionally important sites, to the extent that there context is compromised and appreciation and understanding of them is diminished; and
- Loss of sites or their historic features which are of local importance, but for which adequate mitigation measures could be specified.

#### Neutral

An assessment of 'neutral' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

No appreciable effect, either positive or negative, on any known sites.

The latter would generally only apply to those cases where 'minor' and 'moderate adverse' impacts are involved.

Minor Positive

An assessment of 'minor positive' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

 Removal or mitigation of existing visual intrusion into the wider setting of regionally important sites, to the extent that their context is partly re-established and appreciation and understanding of them is improved.

# Moderate Positive

An assessment of 'moderate positive' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

- Removal or mitigation of existing major visual intrusion into the immediate setting
  of regionally important sites, to the extent that their context is significantly
  improved and can be better appreciated or understood; and
- Removal or mitigation of existing visual intrusion into the wider setting of multiple regionally important sites, to the extent that the context and the integrity of a related group of sites or historic landscape is re-established and appreciation and understanding of them is improved.

### Major Positive

An assessment of 'major positive' should be realised where a scheme would result in:

- Removal or mitigation of existing visual intrusion into the wider setting of internationally important sites, to the extent that their context and integrity is reestablished and appreciation and understanding of them is improved;
- Removal or mitigation of existing major visual intrusion into the immediate setting
  of nationally important sites, to the extent that their context is significantly
  improved and can be better appreciated or understood;
- Removal or mitigation of existing visual intrusion into the wider setting of multiple sites of national importance, to the extent that the integrity of a related group of sites or historic landscape is re-established and appreciation and understanding of them is improved; and
- Removal or mitigation of existing major visual intrusion into the immediate setting
  of multiple sites of regional importance, to the extent that the integrity of a
  related group of sites or historic landscape is re-established and appreciation and
  understanding of them is improved.

## **A.11 Useful Environmental Contacts**

Name: Architectural Heritage Society of Scotland

Address: The Glasite Meeting House

33 Barony Street

Edinburgh EH3 6NX

Telephone: 0131 557 0019
Fax: 0131 557 0049
Website: www.ahss.org.uk

Name: Council for Scottish Archaeology

Address: Causeway House

160 Causewayside

Edinburgh EH9 1PR

Telephone: 0131 668 4189 Fax: 0131 668 4275

Website: <u>www.scottisharchaeology.org.uk</u>

Name : Garden History Society

Address: 70 Cowcross Street

London EC1M 6EJ

Telephone: 020 7608 2409 Fax: 020 7490 2974

Website: www.gardenhistorysociety.org

Name: Garden History Society in Scotland

Address: Glaiste Meeting House

33 Barony Street

Edinburgh EH3 6NX

Telephone: 0131 557 5717

Fax:

Website: <u>www.gardenhistorysociety.org</u>

Name: Historic Scotland Address: Longmore House

Salisbury Place

Edinburgh EH9 1SH

Telephone: 0131 668 8600 (general)

0131 668 8707 (listing)

0131 668 8777 (scheduling)

Fax: 0131 668 8669

Website: <u>www.historic-scotland.gov.uk</u>

Name: Scottish RIGS Coordinator

Address: British Geological Society (Edinburgh)

Murchison House West Mains Road

Edinburgh EH9 3LA

Telephone: 0131 650 0289

Email: mailto:maeb@bgs.ac.uk

Website: www.bgs.ac.uk

www.ukrigs.org.uk

Name: Macaulay Institute (Land Use Research)

Address: Craigiebuckler

Aberdeen AB15 8QH

Telephone: 01224 498200 Fax: 01224 311556

Website: www.mluri.sari.ac.uk

Name: National Trust for Scotland

Address: Wemyss House

28 Charlotte Square

Edinburgh EH2 4ET

Telephone: 0844 493 2100
Fax: 0844 493 2102
Website: www.nts.org.uk

Name: Office for National Statistics

Address: Cardiff Road

Newport NP10 8XG

Telephone: 0845 601 3034 Fax: 01633 652 747

Website: <a href="www.statistics.gov.uk">www.statistics.gov.uk</a>

Name: Royal Commission on Ancient and Historic Monuments in Scotland

Address: John Sinclair House

16 Bernard Terrace

Edinburgh EH8 9NX

Telephone: 0131 662 1456 Fax: 0131 662 1477

Website: <a href="www.rcahms.gov.uk">www.rcahms.gov.uk</a>

Name: Royal Society of Wildlife Trusts

Address: The Kiln

Waterside Mather Road

Newark NG24 1WT

Telephone: 01636 677711 Fax: 01636 670001

Website: www.wildlifetrusts.org

Name: Scottish Civic Trust

Address: The Tobacco Merchant's House

42 Miller Street

Glasgow G1 1DT

Telephone: 0141 221 1466 Fax: 0141 248 6952

Website: <u>www.scotnet.co.uk/sct</u>

Name: Scottish Environment Protection Agency

Address: SEPA Corporate Office

**Erskine Court** 

Castle Business Park

Stirling FK9 4TR

Telephone: 01786 457700

Fax: 01786 446885

Website: www.sepa.org.uk

See website for regional offices

Name: Scottish Government Environment and Rural Affairs Department

Address: Pentland House

47 Robb's Loan

Edinburgh EH14 1TY

Telephone: 0131 244 6023 Fax: 0131 244 6116

Website: www.scotland.gov.uk

Name: Scottish Natural Heritage

Address: Great Glen House

Leachkin Road Inverness

IV3 8NW

Telephone: 01463 725000
Fax: 01463 725067
Website: www.snh.org.uk

Name: Scottish Wildlife Trust

Address: Cramond House

Kirk Cramond

Cramond Glebe Road

Edinburgh EH4 6NS

Telephone: 0131 312 7765
Fax: 0131 312 8705
Website: www.swt.org.uk